

that Amoco has a constitution and statutory right to a hearing before it may be deprived of property, i.e., the 1983–1988 Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursement dollars that Amoco previously collected from KNI. Amoco further alleges that it made a settlement offer to KNI, and that KNI rejected that offer.

Amoco also requests a full and fair hearing, and claims that there are contested issues of material fact (measurable in dollars) on which KNI and Amoco disagree. Amoco further argues that these issues must be adjudicated. Amoco's alleged issues of material fact include:

(1) The amount of dollars of revenue Amoco collected for the sale of its gas in each relevant time period;

(2) How much (if any) of the dollars Amoco collected were in excess of the maximum lawful price (MLP) in each relevant time period;

(3) How much (if any) of the excess dollars collected by Amoco were actually paid by customers of interstate pipelines through the pipeline's PGA process, i.e., how much were the pipeline's customers overcharged; and

(4) Assuming that part of the refund amount is interest, then when did the interstate pipeline customers begin paying a fraction of the amounts determined to be in excess of the MLP, which Amoco contends will govern the amount of interest owned.

Amoco's pleading includes its claim that it has complied with the Commission's orders requiring a statement of its basic principles for rejecting KNI's refund claim, and Amoco's privileged and confidential offer of settlement to KNI (Amoco's Attachment A). Amoco also provides its own assessment as to how to compute the correct refund amount.

The procedural rules governing settlements are set forth in Section 385.602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Under Section 385.602(f), any person wishing to make comments with respect to an offer of settlement must do so not later than 20 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Reply comments must be filed not later than 30 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Accordingly, any person desiring to file comments with respect to Amoco's offer of settlement should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

20426, by March 12, 1998, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure [18 CFR 385.602(f)].

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–5965 Filed 3–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GP98–4–000]

Amoco Production Company; Notice of Offer of Settlement and Call for the Protection of Rights Pending Adjudication or Settlement

March 3, 1998.

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Amoco Production Company (Amoco), alleging compliance with the Commission's January 28, 1998 Order Clarifying Procedures (82 FERC ¶ 61,059), filed an offer of settlement with the Commission, and called for the protection of its rights pending adjudication or settlement, with respect to Amoco's Kansas ad valorem tax refund obligation to Williams Gas Pipeline Central, Inc., formerly: Williams Natural Gas Company, (Williams), identified in the Statement of Refunds Due filed by Williams in Docket No. RP98–52–000. Amoco's pleading is on file with the Commission and, except for Amoco's confidential offer of settlement, is open to public inspection.

Amoco contends that the Commission has established a procedure to follow, under 18 CFR 385.602 of the Commission's regulations, when informal settlement or reconciliation efforts fail, and that it has complied with the requisites of that Section. Amoco suggests that a Settlement Judge be appointed, that Amoco's refund obligation to Williams to held in abeyance and that interest be tolled, on the basis that Amoco has a constitutional and statutory right to a hearing before it may be deprived of property, i.e., the 1983–1988 Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursement dollars that Amoco previously collected from Williams. Amoco further alleges that it made a settlement offer to Williams, and that Williams rejected that offer.

Amoco also requests a full and fair hearing, and claims that there are contested issues of material fact (measurable in dollars) on which Williams and Amoco disagree. Amoco further argues that these issues must be adjudicated. Amoco's alleged issues of material fact include:

(1) Amount of dollars of revenue Amoco collected for the sale of its gas in each relevant time period;

(2) How much (if any) of the dollars Amoco collected were in excess of the maximum lawful price (MLP) in each relevant time period;

(3) How much (if any) of the excess dollars collected by Amoco were actually paid by customers of interstate pipelines through the pipeline's PGA process, i.e., how much were the pipeline's customers overcharged; and

(4) Assuming that part of the refund amount is interest, then when did the interstate pipeline customers begin paying a fraction of the amounts determined to be in excess of the MLP, which Amoco contends will govern the amount of interest owned.

Amoco's pleading includes its claim that it has complied with the Commission's orders requiring a statement of its basic principles for rejecting Williams's refund claim, and Amoco's privileged and confidential offer of settlement to Williams (Amoco's Attachment A). Amoco also provides its own assessment as to how to compute the correct refund amount.

The procedural rules governing settlements are set forth in Section 385.602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Under Section 385.602(f), any person wishing to make comments with respect to an offer of settlement must do so not later than 20 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Reply comments must be filed not later than 30 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Accordingly, any person desiring to file comments with respect to Amoco's offer of settlement should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, by March 12, 1998, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure [18 CFR 385.602(f)].

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–5967 Filed 3–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M